	Case 2:20-cv-00482-JAM-DB Documen	t 27 Filed 11/29/21 Page 1 of 4	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	NORLAND PRUDENTE,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00482-JAM-DB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER (1) SETTING SETTLEMENT	
13	V.	CONFERENCE, (2) REQUIRING PERSONAL ATTENDANCE BY CERTAIN	
14	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, et al.,	INDIVIDUALS, (3) REQUIRING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT MEETING GERTAIN	
15	Defendant.	STATEMENT MEETING CERTAIN PARAMETERS, AND (4) SCHEDULING PRE-CONFERENCE DISCUSSION	
16		PRE-CONFERENCE DISCUSSION	
17	Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson will hold a settlement conference by Zoom on		
18	March 30, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. The Zoom settlement conference invitation will be distributed the		
19	week prior. The court expects that the parties will proceed with the settlement conference in good		
20	faith and attempt to resolve all or part of the case. If any party believes that the settlement		
21	conference will not be productive, that party shall so inform the court as far in advance of the		
22	settlement conference as possible. Unless otherwise specifically authorized by the court in		
23	advance of the settlement conference, the following individuals must participate in the settlement		
24	conference: (1) all of the attorney(s) who will try the case; (2) the parties; and (3) individuals with		
25	full authority to negotiate and settle the case, on any terms.		
26	No later than March 2, 2022, each party must submit to Judge Peterson's chambers at		
27	jdporders@caed.uscourts.gov a confidential settlement conference statement. These statements		
28	should neither be filed on the docket nor served on any other party. The statements should be		

Case 2:20-cv-00482-JAM-DB Document 27 Filed 11/29/21 Page 2 of 4

1	marked "CONFIDENTIAL" and should state the date and time of the conference. While brevity		
2	is appreciated, each statement must include:		
3	(1) a brief recitation of the facts;		
4	(2) a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the case, from your party's		
5	perspective;		
6	(3) an itemized estimate of your party's expected costs for further discovery, pretrial, and		
7	trial matters, in specific dollar terms;		
8	(4) your best estimate of the probability that plaintiff will obtain a finding of liability		
9	should this case proceed to trial, in percentage terms;		
10	(5) should this case proceed to trial and defendant be found liable, please provide the		
11	following, in specific dollar terms:		
12	(a) a realistic high-end recovery estimate (i.e., realistic best- or worst-case		
13	scenario)		
14	(b) a realistic low-end recovery estimate (i.e., realistic worst- or best-case		
15	scenario), and		
16	(c) a best estimate of the most likely outcome;		
17	(6) a history of settlement discussions, including:		
18	(a) a statement of your expectations for settlement discussions;		
19	(b) a listing of any past and present settlement offers from any party (including all		
20	terms);		
21	(c) whether your party would consider making the opening offer or demand, and		
22	what that offer might be ¹ ;		
23	(7) a list of the individuals who will be participating in the settlement conference on your		
24	party's behalf, including each participant's name and, if appropriate, title; and		
25	(8) identification of any related cases or of any other cases that the parties might wish to		
26	discuss at this settlement conference;		
27			
28	¹ Please note that Judge Peterson will consider this response, among others, in evaluating whether proceeding with a settlement conference appears worthwhile.		

Case 2:20-cv-00482-JAM-DB Document 27 Filed 11/29/21 Page 3 of 4 (9) a completed worksheet (blank version attached) highlighting your responses to certain of the above questions. In addition, a joint statement quoting the specific proposed language for the Settlement

Judge Peterson will hold a short, pre-settlement conference telephone discussion on March 9, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. (dial-in number: 1-888-557-8511; passcode: 1273468). Only the lead attorney from each side² should participate. At Judge Peterson's discretion, the joint telephonic discussion may be followed by private telephonic discussions between the judge and each party.

In accordance with the above, it is hereby ORDERED that:

Agreement and Affidavit including each party's contentions with respect thereto.

- 1. A pre-settlement conference is set before Magistrate Judge Peterson on March 9, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.
- 2. A settlement conference is set before Magistrate Judge Peterson on March 30, 2022, at 10:00 a.m.
- 3. No later than March 2, 2022, each party must submit a confidential settlement conference statement, as described above, to Magistrate Judge Peterson.

Dated: November 29, 2021

EREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

² The court expects that the attorneys participating in the telephone discussion will also participate in the settlement conference.

Case 2:20-cv-00482-JAM-DB Document 27 Filed 11/29/21 Page 4 of 4

Pre-Settlement Worksheet

	Please fill in each value below
Additional cost to your party expected for discovery	\$
pretrial	\$
trial	\$
Estimated probability of liability finding	%
Realistic high-end estimate of recovery by P	\$
Realistic low-end estimate of recovery by P	\$
Best estimate of recovery by P	\$
Last offer/demand (if any) previously made by your party	\$
Last offer/demand (if any) previously made by opposing party	\$
Proposed next offer/demand by your party	\$